1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	WILLIAM RAYMOND HODGE,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-04-0617 MCE DAD P
12	vs.
13	TOM L. CAREY, et al.,
14	Respondents. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Petitioner has requested an extension of time to file and serve a traverse pursuant
17	to the court's order of April 7, 2005. Subsequently, on May 26, 2005, petitioner filed a timely
18	traverse ¹ . Therefore, petitioner's request will be denied as unnecessary. Accordingly, IT IS
19	HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's May 19, 2005 request for an extension of time is denied
20	as unnecessary.
21	DATED: May 27, 2005.
22	Dale A. Dagel
23	DALE A. DROZD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24	DAD:bb/4 hodg0617.111t
25	
26	Since respondents filed a motion to dismiss on May 9, 2005, the court will construe

¹ Since respondents filed a motion to dismiss on May 9, 2005, the court will construe petitioner's traverse as his opposition to the motion.